

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMENCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/700,305		10/31/2003	James Kaput	Kaput-100 US	5444
39843	7590	07/10/2006		EXAM	NER
BELL & A			SISSON, BRADLEY L		
416 FUNSTON ST., SUITE 100 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94118				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1634	
				DATE MAILED: 07/10/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/700,305	KAPUT, JAMES
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bradley L. Sisson	1634

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
	Iment document filed on <u>01 May 2006</u> is considered non-compliant books of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to equired.				
■ 1. /□ 2.	FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DO Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other	OCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:			
	Amendments to the drawings: ☐ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). ☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has be showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance w ☐ C. Other	een eliminated. Replacement drawings			
_	 Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims. C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status ident of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented. E. Other: 	ntifier, and as such, the individual status claim must be indicated after its claim nal), (Currently amended), (Canceled), and (Withdrawn-currently amended).			
□ 5.	Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance	e with 37 CFR 1.4):			
For further	explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, se	e MPEP § 714.			
TIME PER	ODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:				
filed af	ant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is ter allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant afte corrected amendment must be resubmitted.				
correct (includi amend Quayle	2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.				
	ensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the nonendernder or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	-compliant amendment is a non-final			
Fail A fi N	ure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is led in response to a Quayle action; or lon-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a primendment.				
L	egal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.			

2.

Continuation of 1(c) Other: The Substitute Specification and the marked-up version of same are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125(b). It is noted that the substitute specification contains the claims, and that the claims presented are the original claims. A review of the prosecution of the application finds that there have been amendments to the claims, yet these are not reflected in the substitute specification, or the marked-up version of same. Further, the substitute specification does not identify all amendments that have been made to same over the course of prosecution. Applicant is urged to carefully review the prosecution of the instant application so to identify all changes made, and the applicable regulations so to ensure the format of the substitute specification and the marked-up copy are compliant.

BRADLEY L. SISSON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800

B. L. Sinon